

International Ornithological Journal THE RING

REVIEW FORM

Author and title of the paper:
.....
.....

Express your opinion by ticks in appropriate check boxes below. Negative opinions must be justified in written form on an additional sheet (number comments according to numbers on the list). Other comments could be written on manuscript or on the additional sheet as above.

- 1. Problem - its scientific value [] [] []
2. Title - is it adequate to the paper contents? [] [] []
3. Abstract - does it describe the contents well? [] [] []
4. Methods - are they correct and adequate to the problem?
- field methods [] [] []
- evaluation methods [] [] []
- statistics [] [] []
5. Data collected - sufficient to solve the problem? [] [] []
6. Results - are they enough based on data? [] [] []
7. Discussion - is it adequate to results and contemporary knowledge? [] [] []
8. Conclusions - are they justified enough? [] [] []
9. Presentation:
- the text - is it adequate to the contents? [] [] []
- figures and tables - are they clear and useful? [] [] []

SUMMARY:

- (check the appropriate box and justify if you advice rejection of the paper)
- the paper should be published and it does not need more than editorial work []
- the paper could be published with more substantial revision (comments) []
- the paper should NOT be published (comments obligatory) []

APPENDED comments: pages.

Review sheet and comments are anonymous, unless the Reviewer wishes to sign it.

Date

Signature

Referee name, address, e-mail:

.....
.....