

## **International Ornithological Journal THE RING**

### **Guidelines for Reviewers**

As with all peer-review journals, it is vital to perform the quality control of reviewing submitted manuscripts. Without the knowledge of specialists, we could not fulfil our mission. We are very grateful to our Reviewers for the effort and expertise that they contribute to reviewing, without which it would be impossible to maintain the high standards of peer-reviewed journals.

#### ***General Expectations***

While preparing the review, we ask our Referees to:

- comment on the originality of the presented work,
- comment on whether the manuscript presents the most recent literature on the topic discussed,
- evaluate the authors' experimental or theoretical approach to the discussed problem(s),
- evaluate the reliability of the results and validity of the conclusions,
- comment on technical aspects of the paper, such as the statistical analyses,
- inform us whether we should consider the manuscript further and what should be done in order to make it publishable (if that is possible),
- describe the significance that the work has for the scientific community.

Please note that accepted papers will undergo language editing by native English speakers. Incorrect grammar, style or punctuation should not constitute sufficient reason to reject a paper if it is still intelligible for the reviewer and its content warrants publication from a scientific point of view.

#### ***Confidentiality***

Please do not distribute copies of the manuscript or use results contained in it without the authors' permission. Suggestions for alternative referees are helpful to the editors and would be appreciated.

In situations where you wish to co-review an article with a junior member of your laboratory, they must abide by the same rules of confidentiality and publishing ethics, and be named as a co-reviewer on submission of the review to the journal.

#### ***Specific expectations***

Research Papers - manuscripts submitted to THE RING should:

- contain original work, which is not published elsewhere in any medium by the authors or anyone else,
- be focused on the core aims and scope of the journal,
- be clearly and concisely written,
- should contain all of the essential components of a scientific paper, should be written in a clear, easy-to-understand manner, and should be brief while still thoroughly explaining methods and results.

#### ***Technicalities***

Please provide your review within specified deadline or inform the Editor as soon as possible if you are not able to do so. You can submit your review via email to the Journal Editor-in-Chief at [busse@wbwp-fund.eu](mailto:busse@wbwp-fund.eu).